C.S.100L

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3062/2004

PETITIONER

Puni Lal Chauhan, aged about 36 years, son of Shri Nanku Ram Chauhan, Ayurved Compounder, Government Ayurvedik Aushdhalay, Jatri, Tah. & Distt. Raigarh (CG)

P.R. No. 3063/04
Presen Shri Trothan Dubey
dated 2-9-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through, the Secretary, Department of Health, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. The Director, Bharatiya Chikitsa Padhati Evam Homeopathy, Behind D.K.S. Raipur (C.G.)

3. District Ayurvedik Adhikari, Near Shriram Colony, Beladula Road, Raigarh (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS.

01) PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:

As per the cause title.

02) PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:

As per the cause title.

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3062/2004 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	4.1.2005 Shri G.K.Beriwal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Dashrath Gupta, Government Advocate for the State. Heard on the question of admission. The petitioner has raised his grievances by this petition under Article 226/227 of India that many juniors to him have been promoted by the department but the case of the petitioner has not been considered for promotion. Learned counsel for the petitioner takes me to Annexure P-5 which is a gradation list which shows the names of some persons who are junior to the petitioner. Relying on their date of joining, counsel submits that those persons who are junior to the petitioner have been promoted but the petitioner has not been promoted so far. He also submits that the petitioner had filed several representations but no action has been taken on them. Copies of representations have not been filed on record. However, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the petitioner may be permitted to file a fresh representation before the competent authorities of the respondents and they be directed to decide the same within the stipulated period. The prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be reasonable. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, in the ends of justice, the petitioner is directed to file a detailed representation afresh along with copy of this petition and the order passed by this Court	

Cr. PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3062/2004 **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

before the competent authorities of the respondents within a period of 15 days and the authorities will decide the same as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of the representation. A liberty is also granted to the petitioner to raise his grievances, if the petitioner will not be satisfied by the order passed by the respondent authorities in this regard.

With the aforesaid observations the petition stands finally disposed of.

Consequently, I.A.No. 6550/2004 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Sunita

G.PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.